

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/937,246	09/21/2001	Claudio Bernoni	BUG 2 0144	BUG 2 0144 6473	
7590 10/17/2003			EXAMINER		
Fay Sharpe Fagan Beall			JULES, FRANTZ F		
Minnich & McKee Suite 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1100 Superior Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114-2518			3617		
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/937,246	BERNONI, CLAUDIO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Frantz F. Jules	3617			
The I	MAILING DATE of this communication app y	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
THE MAILIN  - Extensions of t after SIX (6) M  - If the period for If NO period for Failure to reply  - Any reply recei	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, wed by the Office later than three months after the mailing erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) oil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frocause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed tays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 S</u>	September 2003 .				
2a)∐ This a	action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(	s) $1-10$ is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(	s) is/are objected to.					
8)∏ Claim(	s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•			
Application Pag	pers					
9)∐ The spe	ecification is objected to by the Examine	<del>r</del> .				
10)∏ The dra	wing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)  objected to by the E	kaminer.			
Applic	cant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If app	roved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.				
12) The oat	h or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Ackno	wledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1.🛛	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	ation No			
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
	attached detailed Office action for a list	•				
14)∐ Acknow	ledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(e) (to a provisional application).			
·	e translation of the foreign language pro ledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •				
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice of Draf	erences Cited (PTO-892) tsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Trademark OPTOL-326 (Rev. 04-0		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 9			

Art Unit: 3617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the neutral axis of the wall of the groove" in line 16-17.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim as a neutral axis has not been previously defined.

Claims 2-6 are rejected as being dependent upon rejected base claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaji (US 4,466,670) in view of Broring et al and Hayashi (US 5,096,264).

Claims 7-10

Kaji discloses a three piece wheel for a motor vehicle, said wheel comprising: an outer rim, an inner rim, and a disc, wherein a portion of said outer rim is abutted with said inner rim and compressed between said inner rim and said disc, and;

Art Unit: 3617

first and second sets of through-holes defined respectively in said disc and said outer rim, said first and second sets of through-holes registered with each other; and registered with said first and second sets of through-bores; and, a plurality of fasteners for connecting said outer rim, said inner rim, and said disc to each other to define a wheel, said plurality of fasteners each comprising a portion that projects through an aligned pair of through-bores of said first and second sets of through-bores and into one of said threaded blind bores of said inner rim, wherein said outer rim is defined from a non-cast alloy plate material. And wherein at least one of said inner rim and said disc are each defined by a cast member.

Kaji discloses all of the features as listed above but does not disclose a three piece wheel comprising a set of threaded blind bores defined in said inner rim for receiving the fastener and wherein said outer rim is defined from a different material as compared to at least one of said inner rim and said disc. The general concept of providing threaded blind bores in the inner rim of a wheel for receiving fastener to secure a component is well known in the art as illustrated by Hayashi which discloses in fig. 10 the use of threaded blind bores in the inner rim of a wheel for receiving fastener. Also, the general concept of providing different material for the outer flange of a wheel as compared to the rest of the wheel is well known in the art as illustrated by Broring et al which disclose the use of a wheel being made of different material in flange area as compared to the rest of the wheel. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kaji to include the use of threaded blind bores in the

Art Unit: 3617

inner rim of his wheel for receiving fastener to secure components of the wheel as taught by Hayashi in order to prevent loosening of the fastener as threaded connection is stronger than loose connection. In addition, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kaji to include the use of different material for the outer flange of the wheel as compared to at least one of the inner rim and the disc in his advantageous three piece wheel as taught by Broring in order to provide material with emergency-running properties capable of withstanding the load of the car in the event of a flat tire.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-6 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 09/03/03 have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/937,246

Art Unit: 3617

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Cook et al are cited to show related three piece wheel having an outer flange portion sandwiched between a disc and inner flange of a wheel.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz F. Jules whose telephone number is (703) 308-8780. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph S. Morano can be reached on (703) 308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Frantz F. Jules Examiner Art Unit 3617

Art Unit: 3617

FFJ

October 15, 2003

S. JOSEPH MORANO
SUPECHICARY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER SCCC